

Prove $p \ \& \ q$ from the premise $\sim(\sim p \mid \sim q)$

Goal: $p \ \& \ q$

Intuition: Since the goal is of the general form $\Phi \ \& \ \Psi$, perhaps **AND Introduction** is a good way to go. Start by proving p and q separately from the premise.

High-level Approach:

1. Prove p
2. Prove q
3. Use **AND Introduction** on p and q

Proving $\sim(\sim p \mid \sim q)$ [Steps 2 - 9]

- Assume $\sim p$
- Prove $\sim\sim p$ using **Negation Introduction** and subsequently use **Negation Elimination** to prove p .

Similar steps to prove $\sim(\sim p \mid \sim q) \Rightarrow q$ [Steps 10 - 17]

Fitch

□ 1.	$\sim(\sim p \mid \sim q)$	Premise
□ 2.	$\sim p$	Assumption
□ 3.	$\sim(\sim p \mid \sim q)$	Reiteration: 1
□ 4.	$\sim p \Rightarrow \sim(\sim p \mid \sim q)$	Implication Introduction: 3
□ 5.	$\sim p$	Assumption
□ 6.	$\sim p \mid \sim q$	Or Introduction: 5
□ 7.	$\sim p \Rightarrow \sim p \mid \sim q$	Implication Introduction: 6
□ 8.	$\sim\sim p$	Negation Introduction: 7, 4
□ 9.	p	Negation Elimination: 8
□ 10.	$\sim q$	Assumption
□ 11.	$\sim(\sim p \mid \sim q)$	Reiteration: 1
□ 12.	$\sim q \Rightarrow \sim(\sim p \mid \sim q)$	Implication Introduction: 11
□ 13.	$\sim q$	Assumption
□ 14.	$\sim p \mid \sim q$	Or Introduction: 13
□ 15.	$\sim q \Rightarrow \sim p \mid \sim q$	Implication Introduction: 14
□ 16.	$\sim\sim q$	Negation Introduction: 15, 12
□ 17.	q	Negation Elimination: 16
□ 18.	$p \ \& \ q$	And Introduction: 9, 17